Urgent invasive coronary strategy and mild induced hypothermia in patients with resuscitated sudden cardiac arrest
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INTRODUCTION
Sudden cardiac arrest (SCA) remains the leading cause of death in developed countries, with an annual incidence ranging from 36 to 81 events per 100,000 inhabitants. According to the findings of post mortems and immediate coronary angiography, significant coronary artery disease may be documented in more than 70% of patients suffering from SCA [1, 2]. Following initial cardiocerebral resuscitation in the field, the re-establishment of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) is achieved in 40% to 60% of patients who are subsequently transferred to the emergency department. Because of typical delays in the ‘chain of survival’, up to 80% of patients remain comatose despite ROSC which pinpoints post-resuscitation brain injury. Its severity may ultimately vary from mild disability to permanent vegetative state and cannot be accurately prognosticated on hospital admission. Only a minority of ‘lucky losers’ with prompt initiation of chest compression and defibrillation, which is usually the case if emergency medical personnel are already present when cardiac arrest occurs, regain consciousness immediately after ROSC.

‘CONSCIOUS’ SURVIVORS OF CARDIAC ARREST
The return of consciousness immediately after ROSC, as opposed to a coma, indicates the absence of significant post-resuscitation brain injury. If a coronary event is suspected, conscious survivors of cardiac arrest routinely undergo immediate coronary angiography and revascularisation similar to other patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) without preceding cardiac arrest (Fig. 1). Despite the fact that such patients have been typically excluded from major ACS interventional trials, we believe that in the absence of post-resuscitation brain injury, these studies can be safely extrapolated to this subpopulation of cardiac arrest patients. As a matter of fact, these patients have an excellent prognosis which is comparable to, or even better than, ACS patients without cardiac arrest [3, 4]. Therefore, there is disagreement regarding immediate coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) if ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) or ACS without ST-elevation (NSTE ACS) is suspected.

‘COMATOSE’ SURVIVORS OF CARDIAC ARREST
Unlike with conscious survivors of cardiac arrest, the brain represents another key target organ in comatose survivors. Since there was no effective treatment for post-resuscitation brain injury in the past, comatose survivors of cardiac arrest have never generated much interest among the interventional community despite the high prevalence of obstructive coronary disease. Instead, they typically died in the hospital or nursing home in a permanent vegetative state without their coronary anatomy being discovered. Treating coronaries if the patient does not wake up from a coma is indeed a futile intervention. The introduction of mild induced hypothermia in 2002 has undoubtedly revolutionised the field of the post-resuscitation treatment of comatose survivors and with ‘number needed to treat’ of seven represents one of the most effective interventions in modern cardiovascular medicine [5, 6]. Since comatose survivors of cardiac arrest undergoing hypothermia started to ‘wake up' in subsequent days, more efforts were made to define and treat the cause of cardiac arrest. This led to comprehensive ‘brain and heart’ oriented post-resuscitation protocols with very consistent survival rates of between 55% and 65% [7–10]. Importantly, more than 80% of survivors achieve favourable neurological recovery and an extended lifespan [10]. This is in striking contrast with the ‘pre-hypothermia era’ as is evident also from our own registry of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (Fig. 2) [11].
Our protocol for the post-resuscitation treatment of comatose survivors of cardiac arrest is ‘heart and brain’ oriented and has as its cornerstones, hypothermia and immediate coronary intervention. This protocol is in this respect in accordance with the 2012 ESC guidelines on the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation [12]. It is very important to emphasise that the diagnosis and treatment of a coronary problem should never delay the initiation and maintenance of hypothermia, because both interventions can be safely performed simultaneously [13, 14].

**MILD INDUCED HYPOTHERMIA**

There are several methods of inducing and maintaining post cardiac arrest hypothermia, including external cooling, cold fluid infusion, intravascular cooling and the recently introduced peritoneal lavage. These methods differ significantly in terms of their complexity, cooling rates and costs. Since none of the methods have been demonstrated to be superior in terms of clinical outcomes, we routinely use a very simple and cheap protocol which includes sedation/relaxation, cold fluid infusion and external cooling with ice packs (Fig. 3) [13]. We advise that the cooling protocol should be started when patients are on their way to our hospital.

**IMMEDIATE CORONARY ANGIOGRAPHY**

There is growing evidence on immediate coronary angiography after aborted SCA in the literature, concerning as many as 2,500 patients [10]. We have learned that immediate coronary angiography is feasible and safe also in the setting of resuscitated cardiac arrest. In the presence of STEMI in an early post-resuscitation electrocardiogram (ECG), acute thrombotic lesions may be found in up to 90% [4]. However, the absence of ST-elevation does not exclude obstructive or even thrombotic stenosis which may be present in 25% to 58% [2, 4, 15, 16]. Importantly, also a finding of normal coronary arteries or non-obstructive coronary disease is very useful because it triggers a search for alternative causes of cardiac arrest. We have developed an algorithm for the selection of patients for immediate coronary angiography, with a short stop at the emergency department for patients without ST elevation aimed to exclude obvious non-coronary causes.

---

**Figure 1.** Example of a patient with sudden cardiac arrest on arrival of the emergency medical team which had been alerted 15 minutes earlier because of typical chest pain. The patient, who was defibrillated, immediately regained consciousness and was transported approximately 70 km to a ‘24/7’ primary percutaneous coronary intervention centre for immediate interventional treatment; EMS — emergency medical staff

**Figure 2.** Survival of consecutive comatose patients after resuscitated out-of-hospital cardiac arrest before and after ‘heart and brain’ oriented post-resuscitation care at University Medical Centre, Ljubljana, Slovenia [11]; CA — cardiac arrest; CAG — coronary angiography; CPC 1–2 — cerebral performance category indicating good neurological recovery; MIH — mild induced hypothermia; PCI — percutaneous coronary intervention
such as drowning, intoxication, cerebrovascular accident, pulmonary embolism and primary respiratory failure [4]. If this is not the case, we advise coronary angiography in the absence of unfavourable settings of cardiac arrest indicating very little likelihood of subsequent neurological recovery and in the absence of significant pre-arrest comorbidities (Fig. 4).

CARDIAC ARREST PCI
Immediate coronary angiography may be followed by what we call ‘cardiac arrest PCI’ (CA-PCI). Despite the fact that several registries [2, 8, 15] and a meta-analysis [10] have shown a survival benefit of CA-PCI, there has been no randomised trial and also no clear strategy of revascularisation. In most studies, the decision for CA-PCI has been based on the identification of obstructive stenosis which presumably caused the cardiac arrest without providing further angiographic information.

We believe that such information is very important when considering the heterogeneity of coronary artery disease ranging from stable obstructive to typical ACS lesions. An ACS lesion obviously represents a cause of cardiac arrest and successful CA-PCI. If we extrapolate major interventional ACS studies without preceding cardiac arrest, this will decrease the likelihood of recurrent cardiac arrest, improve haemodynamic stability, and reduce infarct size. Significant but angiographically stable obstructive stenosis may also be responsible for cardiac arrest by causing transient ischaemia and thereby triggering cardiac arrest. Hypothetical mechanisms, which may include plaque thrombosis with spontaneous reperfusion, coronary spasm or a decrease in perfusion pressure across the lesion or collaterals in case of sudden arterial hypotension, usually cannot be documented at coronary angiography. On the other hand, such lesions may also be only an ‘innocent bystander’ without a direct cause-and-effect relationship to cardiac arrest, which may have been triggered by another pathology such as post-infarction myocardial scarring [1]. Again, if we extrapolate knowledge from patients with stable coronary disease, PCI is mainly associated with symptom improvement without reduction in myocardial infarction and survival benefit compared to optimal medical therapy only. Since we are left with limited scientific evidence, we believe immediate CA-PCI should be primarily reserved for ACS lesions [4]. CA-PCI of additional obstructive lesions beyond the culprit may be reasonable if the patient remains haemodynamically unstable despite successful culprit intervention.
and supportive treatment if we extrapolate findings from the landmark SHOCK trial which again excluded patients with cardiac arrest [17]. If this is not the case, we tend to postpone intervention to a more elective setting if the patient regains neurological function. To guide interventionists, we have developed a simplified algorithm for revascularisation strategy which considers the extent of coronary disease, angiographic characteristics of lesions, haemodynamics, and the degree of post-resuscitation brain injury (Fig. 5) [4].

It is very important to emphasise that the angiographic success of CA-PCI is comparable to PCI in ACS without cardiac arrest, and is not likely to be compromised by ongoing hypothermia in comatose survivors of cardiac arrest [10, 13, 14]. Because of the significant proportion of patients with haemodynamic instability after ROSC, haemodynamic support with intraaortic balloon counterpulsation, and even with more effective assistance devices, is increasingly used also in comatose survivors if short time delays to ROSC argue for good neurological recovery. With successful CA-PCI and haemodynamic stabilisation of comatose survivors, we can ‘buy’ precious time for the completion of 24-hour hypothermia and possible neurological recovery during the subsequent days.

CALL FOR TEAMWORK

AND SPECIALISED CARDIAC ARREST CENTRES

‘Heart and brain’ oriented post-resuscitation treatment is a team enterprise which includes prehospital emergency physicians, acute cardiac care physicians and interventional cardiologists. This is best achieved by upgrading the existing ‘24/7’ primary PCI network with ‘hypothermia-CA-PCI’ fast track for patients with resuscitated cardiac arrest and concentrating these patients in highly specialised tertiary centres. The results of those who have done this [4, 7–10] should also be seen as a ‘wake up call’ for the interventional cardiologist to become an essential part of the post-resuscitation team.
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